Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for signatures

Jeffrey Adams Marc van Leeuwen Peter Trapa
David Vogan Wai Ling Yee

Computational Methods in Lie Theory, April 4–5, 2009

Outline

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Character formulas for invariant forms

Computing easy Hermitian KL polynomials

Unitarity algorithm

Categories of representations

Recall from lecture of Jeff Adams Verma modules...

$B \subset G$ Borel subgp of cplx red alg gp,

W Weyl grp $\leftrightarrow$ hwt mods, triv infl char

$M(w)$ Verma, hwt $-w\rho - \rho$, $L(w)$ irr quot

and, in a parallel way, Harish-Chandra modules...

$K \subset G$ complexified maximal compact

X parameter set for irr $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-mods

$I(x)$ std $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-mod, param $x \in X$ $J(x)$ irr quot

Character formulas

Can decompose Verma module into irreducibles

$$M(w) = \sum_{v \le w} m_{v,w} L(v) \qquad (m_{v,w} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$L(w) = \sum_{v \le w} M_{v,w} M(v) \qquad (M_{v,w} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Can decompose standard HC module into irreducibles

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \le x} m_{y,x} J(y) \qquad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$J(x) = \sum_{y \le x} M_{y,x} I(y) \qquad (M_{y,x} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Matrices m and M upper triang, ones on diag, mutual inverses. Entries are KL polynomials eval at 1.

Forms and dual spaces

V cplx vec space (or alg rep of K, or $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-mod).

Hermitian dual of V

$$V^h = \{\xi : V \to \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \overline{z}\xi(v)\}$$

(If V is K-rep, also require ξ is K-finite.)

Sesquilinear pairings between V and W

$$\mathrm{Sesq}(V, W) = \{\langle,\rangle\colon V \times W \to \mathbb{C}, \text{lin in } V, \text{conj-lin in } W\}$$

$$\mathrm{Sesq}(V, W) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(V, W^h), \quad \langle v, w\rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$$

Cplx conj of forms is (conj linear) isom

$$\mathrm{Sesq}(V, W) \simeq \mathrm{Sesq}(W, V).$$

Corr (conj linear) isom is Hermitian transpose

$$\mathrm{Hom}(V, W^h) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(W, V^h), \quad (T^h w)(v) = (Tv)(w).$$

Sesq form $\langle,\rangle_T$ Hermitian if

$$\langle v, v'\rangle_T = \overline{\langle v', v\rangle_T} \Leftrightarrow T^h = T.$$

Defining a rep on V^h

Suppose V is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module. Write π for repn map.

Want to construct

$$\text{cplx linear } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators. REQUIRES twisting by conj linear aut of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Assume

$$\sigma\colon G \to G \quad \text{antiholom aut,} \quad \sigma(K) = K.$$

Define $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module $\pi^{h,\sigma}$ on V^h,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X)\cdot\xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h\cdot\xi \qquad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k)\cdot\xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h\cdot\xi \qquad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Traditionally use

$$\sigma_0 = \text{ real form with complexified maximal compact } K.$$

We need also

$$\sigma_c = \text{ compact real form of } G \text{ preserving } K.$$

Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module, σ antihol aut of G preserving K.

A σ-invariant sesq form on V is sesq pairing $\langle , \rangle$ on V with

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \qquad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$(X \in \mathfrak{g}, k \in K, v, w \in V)$.

Proposition

A σ-invt sesq form on V is the same thing as an intertwining operator T from V to $V^{h,\sigma}$:

$$\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$$

Form is Hermitian iff $T^h = T$.
Assume V is irreducible. Then invt sesq form exists iff $V \simeq V^{h,\sigma}$. A σ-invt Herm form is unique up to real scalar; non-deg whenever nonzero.

Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \qquad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard $(\mathfrak{g}), K)$-mod $I(x)$.

Want parallel formulas for σ-invt Hermitian forms.
Need forms on standard modules.

Form on irr $J(x) \overrightarrow{\text{deformation}}$ Jantzen filt $I_n(x)$ on std,
nondeg forms $\langle , \rangle_n$ on I_n/I_{n+1}.
Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$I(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \qquad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$
$$I_n/I_{n+1} \text{ completely reducible}$$

$$[J(y) \colon I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x)-\ell(y)-n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence $\langle , \rangle_{I(x)} = \sum_n \langle , \rangle_n$, nondeg form on gr $I(x)$.
Restricts to original form on irr $J(x)$.

virtual Hermitian forms

$$\mathbb{Z} = \text{Groth group of vec spaces.}$$

These are mults of irr reps in virtual reps.

$$\mathbb{Z}[X] = \text{Groth grp of fin lgth reps.}$$

For invariant forms...

$$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \text{Groth grp of fin diml forms.}$$

Ring structure

$$(p, q)(p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + q'p).$$

Mult of irr-with-forms in virtual-with-forms is in $\mathbb{W}$:

$$\mathbb{W}[X] \approx \text{Groth grp of fin lgth reps with invt forms.}$$

Two problems: invt form $\langle , \rangle_J$ may not exist for irr J; and $\langle , \rangle_J$ may not be preferable to $-\langle , \rangle_J$.

Hermitian KL polynomials: multiplicities

Fix σ-invt Hermitian form $\langle,\rangle_{J(x)}$ on each irr admitting one; recall Jantzen form $\langle,\rangle_n$ on $I(x)_n/I(x)_{n+1}$.

MODULO problem of irrs with no invt form, write

$$(I_n/I_{n-1}, \langle,\rangle_n) = \sum_{y \le x} w_{y,x}(n)(J(y), \langle,\rangle_{J(y)}),$$

coeffs $w(n) = (p(n), q(n)) \in \mathbb{W}$; summand means

$$p(n)(J(y), \langle,\rangle_{J(y)}) \oplus q(n)(J(y), -\langle,\rangle_{J(y)})$$

Define Hermitian KL polynomials

$$Q^{\sigma}_{y,x} = \sum_n w_{y,x}(n) q^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2} \in \mathbb{W}[q]$$

Eval in $\mathbb{W}$ at $q = 1 \leftrightarrow$ form $\langle,\rangle_{I(x)}$ on std.

Reduction to $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ by $\mathbb{W} \to \mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow$ KL poly $Q_{x,y}$.

Hermitian KL polynomials: characters

Matrix $Q^{\sigma}_{y,x}$ is upper tri, 1s on diag: INVERTIBLE.

$$P^{\sigma}_{x,y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)}((x,y) \text{ entry of inverse}) \in \mathbb{W}[q].$$

Definition of $Q_{x,y}$ says

$$(\text{gr } I(x), \langle,\rangle_{I(x)}) = \sum_{y \le x} Q_{x,y}(1)(J(y), \langle,\rangle_{J(y)});$$

inverting this gives

$$(J(x), \langle,\rangle_{J(x)}) = \sum_{y \le x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P^{\sigma}_{x,y}(1)(\text{gr } I(y), \langle,\rangle_{I(y)})$$

Next question: how do you compute $P^{\sigma}_{x,y}$?

Herm KL polys for σ_c

$\sigma_c =$ cplx conj for cpt form of G, $\sigma_c(K) = K$.

Plan: study σ_c-invt forms, relate to σ_0-invt forms.

Proposition

Suppose $J(x)$ irr $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module, real infl char. Then $J(x)$ has σ_c-invt Herm form $\langle , \rangle^c_{J(x)}$, characterized by

$\langle , \rangle^c_{J(x)}$ is pos def on the lowest K-types of $J(x)$.

Proposition $\implies$ Herm KL polys $Q^{\sigma_c}_{x,y}$, $P^{\sigma_c}_{x,y}$ well-def.

These have coeffs in $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}$;

here $s = (0, 1) \leftrightsquigarrow$ one-diml neg def form.

Conjecture: $Q^{\sigma_c}_{x,y}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), \quad P^{\sigma_c}_{x,y}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs)$.

Equiv: if $J(y)$ appears at level n of Jantzen filt of $I(x)$, then Jantzen form is $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$ times $\langle , \rangle_{J(y)}$.

Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Now have a computable (conjectural) formula

$$(J(x), \langle , \rangle^c_{J(x)}) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } I(y), \langle , \rangle^c_{I(y)})$$

for σ^c-invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Std rep $I = I(\nu)$ deps on cont param ν. Put $I(t) = I(t\nu), \; t \geq 0$.

If std rep $I = I(\nu)$ admits σ-invt Herm form $\langle , \rangle_I$ (on assoc graded for Jantzen filt), so does $I(t)$ (all $t \geq 0$).

(Signature for $I(t)$) $=$ (signature on $I(t + \epsilon)$), all $\epsilon \geq 0$ suff small.

Sig on $I(t)$ differs from $I(t - \epsilon)$ on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle , \rangle_{\text{gr } I(t-\epsilon)} = \langle , \rangle_{\text{gr } I(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle , \rangle_{I(t)_{2m+1}/I(t)_{2m}}.$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds, all with cont param strictly smaller than $t\nu$. ITERATE...

$$\langle , \rangle^c_J = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,I'} \langle , \rangle^c_{I'(0)} \qquad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

From σ_c to σ_0

Cplx conjs σ_c (compact form) and σ_0 (our real form) differ by Cartan involution θ: $\sigma_0 = \theta \circ \sigma_c$.

Irr $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-mod $J \rightsquigarrow J^\theta$ (same space, rep twisted by θ).

Proposition

J admits σ-invt Herm form if and only if $J^\theta \simeq J$. If $T_0 \colon J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$, and $T_0^2 = \mathrm{Id}$, then

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J^0 = \langle v, T_0 w \rangle_J^c.$$

$T \colon J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta \Rightarrow T^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow T_0 = z^{-1/2} T \rightsquigarrow \sigma$-invt Herm form.

To convert formulas for σ_c invt forms $\rightsquigarrow$ formulas for σ_0-invt forms need intertwining ops $T_J \colon J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$, consistent with decomp of std reps.

Equal rank case

rk K = rk $G \Rightarrow$ Cartan inv inner: $\exists\tau \in K$, $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$.

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$.

Study reps π with $\pi(\zeta) = z$. Fix sq root $z^{1/2}$.

If ζ acts by z on V, and $\langle,\rangle_V^c$ is σ_c-invt form, then $\langle v, w\rangle_V^0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2}\tau \cdot w\rangle_V^c$ is σ_0-invt form.

$$\langle,\rangle_J^c = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu'=0} v_{J,I'} \langle,\rangle_{I'(0)}^c \qquad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle,\rangle_J^0 = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu'=0} v_{J,I'} \langle,\rangle_{I'(0)}^0 \qquad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

I' has LKT $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle,\rangle_{I'(0)}^0$ definite, sign $z^{-1/2}\mu(I')(t)$.

$\langle,\rangle_J^0$ pos def $\Leftrightarrow$ each summand on right pos def.

Computability of $v_{J,I'}$ needs conj about $P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$.

General case

Fix "dist inv" δ_0 of G in inner class of θ

Define extended group $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$.

Can arrange $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$, some $\tau \in K$.

Define $K^\Gamma = \mathrm{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$.

Study $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$-mods $\leftrightsquigarrow$ $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-mods V with $D_0 \colon V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$, $D_0^2 = \mathrm{Id}$.

Beilinson-Bernstein localization: $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$-mods $\leftrightsquigarrow$ action of δ_0 on K-eqvt perverse sheaves on G/B.

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig ideas. Not done yet!

Now translate σ_c-invt forms to σ_0 invt forms

$$\langle v, w\rangle_V^0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2}\tau\delta_0 \cdot w\rangle_V^c$$

on $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$-mods as in equal rank case.